Case 1:09-cv-94346-PGG Document 614 Filed 11/21/12 Page 1 of 2

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED:   '山レ

FRAN M. JACOBS **DIRECT DIAL: 212.692,1060** PERSONAL FAX: +1 212 202 6413 E-MAIL: fmjacobs@duanemorris.com

www.duanemorris.com

## MEMO ENDORSED

Briefing as to all remaining cosnes well proceed simultaneously. Opening briefs will be segred by December 21, 2012; prosition papers will be seemed on January 27,213; Edway 5, 2013. The page limitations are enlarged as the parties request SO ORDERED:

Dated: NW. 21, 2012

BY FACSIMILE AND BY FEDEX

Hon. Paul G. Gardephe United States District Judge United States District Court for the Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

> SEC v. Reserve Management Company, Inc., et al, No. 09 Civ. 4346(PGG) Re:

Dear Judge Gardephe:

Pursuant to the Court's Order of November 14, 2012, the parties respectfully submit this joint letter in order to propose a briefing schedule for all remaining issues in this case, including any issues relating to indemnification and management fees.

We begin with points of agreement. Because of the number of potential issues, the parties jointly request a relaxation of page limitations, permitting opening and answering briefs to be up to 40 pages, and reply briefs up to 20 pages.

The parties differ on scope and sequencing of briefing.

Defendants' position. Defendants believe that it would be most efficient to brief all remaining issues simultaneously. This would include (a) any post-trial motions under Rules 50, 59 and/or 60; (b) any further submissions for indemnification, management fees, and/or expense reimbursements; and (c) any application the SEC wishes to make regarding penalties. To accommodate all of these potential matters, and in light of the intervening holidays, defendants propose that all notices of motion be filed within the deadlines set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and that consolidated briefing on all issues occur as follows: (a) opening briefs served on December 28, 2012; (b) answering briefs served on February 8, 2012; and (c) reply briefs served (and all briefs filed) on February 28, 2012.

DUANE MORRIS LLE

FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES

NEW YORK LONDON SINGAPORE LOS ANGELES CHICAGO

HOUSTON

\$AN DEGO SAN FRANCISCO

BALTIMORE BOSTON WASHINGTON, DC LAS VEGAS ATLANTA MIAMI PITTSBURGH NEWARK BOCA RATON WILMINGTON CHERRY HILL

PRINCETON LAKE TAHOE

HO CHI MINH CITY

PHILADELPHIA

HANOI

<u>Duane</u> Morris

Hon. Paul G. Gardephe November 21, 2012 Page 2

SEC's position. The SEC intends to move under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 50(b) and 59 as to certain issues. Resolution of these motions may impact the relief awarded as well as final disposition of the Expense Fund. Thus—to avoid duplicative briefing—the SEC proposes that the Court resolve these motions before addressing remedies or any other issues affecting the Expense Fund, including applications for management fees or indemnification. Should the Court grant the SEC's request, we propose that motions concerning the Jury's verdict under Rules 50(b), 59, or 60 be briefed according to the following schedule: (1) motions and supporting materials due by December 13, 2012; (2) opposition papers due by January 14, 2012; and (3) reply papers due by January 28, 2012. (See Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) (requiring the filing of motions under Fed. R. Civ. P. 50(b) and 59 by no later than 28 days from entry of judgment).)

Respectfully,

/s/

Fran M. Jacobs Duane Morris LLP

/s/

Alexander Janghorbani Securities and Exchange Commission